CALL TO ORDER

Vice Chairman Cameron called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

The following Commission members were present: Mrs. Anderson, Mr. Cameron, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Divine, Mr. Elsbree, Mr. Gonzales, Mrs. Head, Mr. Hull and Ms. Tidwell. Chairman Pilmer, Mrs. Duncan and Mrs. Owusu-Safo called in and excused themselves from the meeting. Mr. Reynolds arrived at 7:05 p.m.

OTHERS PRESENT

The following staff members were present: Mr. Sieben, Mrs. Vacek, Mr. Sodaro, Mr. Dick, Mr. Curley and Mrs. Jackson.

Others Present: Laura Hester (CDI Development Services, LLC), Ron DiNardo (Cedarwood Development) and Len Kleinjan (Haeger Engineering).

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

19-0604  Approval of the Minutes for the Planning Commission meeting on June 19, 2019.

A motion was made by Ms. Tidwell, seconded by Mr. Chambers, that the minutes be approved and filed. The motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Vice Chairman Cameron said if you are here for an item that does not appear on the agenda and you wish to speak to the Commission, we can give you 3 minutes to do so.

No one came forward.

AGENDA

19-0530  An Ordinance Establishing a Special Use Planned Development,
Approving the Melody Town Center Plan Description and Amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, to an underlying zoning of R-5(S) Multiple-Family Dwelling, R-5A(S) Midrise Multiple Family Dwelling, B-2(S) General Retail District, and OS-1(S) Conservation, Open Space and Drainage with a Special Use Planned Development for the property located at east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street (CDI Development Services, LLC - 19-0530 / NA28/1-16.085-RZ/SU/PSD/PPN - TV - Ward 10) (PUBLIC HEARING)

See attachment for Items 19-0530, 19-0531 and 19-0532.

A motion was made by Ms. Tidwell, seconded by Mr. Elsbree, that this agenda item be Forwarded to the Building, Zoning, and Economic Development Committee, on the agenda for 7/24/2019. The motion carried.

19-0531

A Resolution Approving a Preliminary Plat for Melody Town Center Subdivision Being Vacant Land located at east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street (CDI Development Services, LLC - 19-0531 / NA28/1-16.085-RZ/SU/PSD/PPN - TV - Ward 10)

See attachment for Items 19-0530, 19-0531 and 19-0532.

A motion was made by Ms. Tidwell, seconded by Mrs. Anderson, that this agenda item be Forwarded to the Building, Zoning, and Economic Development Committee, on the agenda for 7/24/2019. The motion carried.

19-0532

A Resolution Approving a Preliminary Plan for Melody Town Center Subdivision Being Vacant Land located at east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street (CDI Development Services, LLC - 19-0532 / NA28/1-16.085-RZ/SU/PSD/PPN - TV - Ward 10)

See attachment for Items 19-0530, 19-0531 and 19-0532.

A motion was made by Mr. Chambers, seconded by Ms. Tidwell, that this agenda item be Forwarded to the Building, Zoning, and Economic Development Committee, on the agenda for 7/24/2019. The motion carried.

Attachment for Items 19-0530, 19-0531 and 19-0532

19-0530 An Ordinance establishing a Special Use Planned Development, approving the Melody Town Center Plan Description and amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, to an underlying zoning of R-5(S) Multiple Family Dwelling, R-5A(S) Midrise Multiple Family Dwelling, B-2(S) General Retail District and OS-1(S) Conservation, Open Space and Drainage with a Special Use Planned Development for the property located east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street (CDI Development Services, LLC – 19-0530 / NA28/1-16.085-Rz/Su/Psd/Ppn – TV – Ward 10) (PUBLIC HEARING)

Mrs. Vacek said the subject property is currently vacant land with B-2(S) General Retail Business District and is part of the Ocean Atlantic Special Use Planned Development, which was approved in 1998. Just to give you a little bit of background, in 2006 the property received approval for a commercial lifestyle center. However the
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center was never built. Just recently, earlier this year, we did approve a Redevelopment Agreement to assist in the development of the property, as the requirement to provide for the construction or extension of Commons Drive has created a burden on this property and has prevented it from developing. The Petitioner is here tonight and is requesting the establishment of a new Special Use Planned Development with underlying R-5(S) Multi-Family Dwelling District, R-5A(S) Midrise Multi-Family Dwelling District, B-2(S) General Retail and OS-1(S) Conservation, Open Space and Drainage District zoning. The Plan Description does include some modifications to the building, dwelling and structure standards, the bulk restriction standards and the use regulations for the R-5, the R-5A and the B-2 parcels. These are outlined in your staff report. I'm not going to get into all of them, but they are outlined in your staff report. Concurrently with the proposal, the Petitioner is requesting approval of a Preliminary Plat and Plan. The Preliminary Plat includes a 10 lot subdivision with a 100 foot wide right-of-way dedication along the eastern portion of the property for the extension of Commons Drive between Ogden Avenue and 75th Street. The Preliminary Plan includes the construction of an approximately 85,000 square foot assisted living/memory care support facility on Lot 1. That facility will house 63 assisted living units and 25 memory care units with a total of 69 parking spaces. The proposal also includes an approximately 200,000 square foot age restricted independent living facility on Lot 2. This will house 144 one and two bedroom units with a total of 144 parking spaces. I'll let the Petitioner kind of get into the details of both of those and kind of talk a little bit more about them and how they handle their facilities. Lot 3 and 4 will be future commercial lots that will front along Commons Drive. Lot 5, which is kind of at the far end kind of at the point of the property, will be another lot next to the detention pond. Lots 7, 8, 9 and 10 are going all to be utilized for detention. The Petitioner is proposing 2 access points along Ogden Avenue and 1 along 75th Street. Pursuant to the Redevelopment Agreement that we did earlier this year, the city is obligated to build the Commons Drive road and any of the associated infrastructure. I'm going to turn it over to the Petitioner. They can kind of go into the project a little bit more and the phasing of the project. But before I do, I just wanted to point out one other thing. This plan was part of the Route 59 Corridor Plan, the Comprehensive Plan that was recently passed. It is absolutely with keeping within that plan. I just wanted to point that out. I'll turn it over to the Petitioner unless you have any questions for me.

The Petitioners were sworn in

Good evening Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Hester. I'm the Vice President of Development and of Senior Housing for Cedarwood Development, which is representing CDI Development Services, LLC, who is the applicant in this case. With me this evening is Ron DiNardo, who is our Development Director with Cedarwood and then Len Kleinjan, our Civil Engineer with Haeger Engineering. If we have any technical questions we have some folks we can turn to. As an overview of discussion this evening, I'm going to tell you a little bit about who Cedarwood is, discuss our proposed plan and program, talk a little bit about the need for senior housing and why that's a component of the proposal and go over the drawing submittal in a little more detail for your understanding. The company, Cedarwood Companies, was founded in 1972. It is family owned. It was founded by Tony Petrarca. He is still very involved in the firm. It is a 47 year development firm, so a highly experienced developer. We've developed over 20 million square feet of space in over 40 states. It is a 100 plus employee firm. We are a long term hold. We're not merchant developers, particularly around our housing, so for any of our multi-family and senior housing the intent is a long term hold for the product. We will be the ones involved in the management of the operations ongoing, so what we represent to you
today will be carried through. We’re here tonight to request approval for establishing that Special Use Planned Development for the mixed development that in general includes business and senior housing. We are requesting the approval for the Preliminary Plat and requesting approval for the Preliminary Plan. We first entered the arena on the triangle site in, believe it or not, May of 2016. It was actually a different administration at the time. We had really vetted several options with the city staff through the very hard work of Dave Dibo, Trevor Dick, John Curley, Ed Sieben, Tracey, Herman, Fire and Engineering Departments and other departments in the city. We really believe we are bringing to you a plan that has merit and has addressed many of the comments and concerns that the city has raised during that period. The plan does accomplish the Master Plan goals that city had for a number of years, particularly around the connectivity of Commons Drive. It is a robust use that have very limited impacts to the community with the age restriction on the housing. We are moving forward consistent with the City Council approved Redevelopment Agreement, which was Resolution #R19-053 passed on February 26th of this year.

So this is three of our senior living developments that we have in development. We are currently under construction in Lake of the Hill, Illinois. That will be open in 6 to 7 months and open for residents a couple months after. We are looking at spring of 2020 for that project to be fully open and licensed. On the bottom of the slide there is our assisted living in Colorado Springs, Colorado. Several of the photos that I’m going to share with you tonight are from that open community. We are also under construction in Colorado Springs, Colorado on an independent living, which is the slide in the middle. The senior housing is a new product line to Cedarwood and we bring on very experienced operators to support us in our efforts in the operations side. So why this site? We are in very close proximity to area shopping, community activity, nearby transportation, medical care and houses of worship. There is very, very good drive by on Ogden and 75th Street and the demographic support, which I’ll get into the use, particularly in the senior housing and then meets the goals of delivering that general business use that the city desires. The Redevelopment Agreement, and thus this project, is conditioned upon and contingent upon the construction of Commons Drive. There are several reasons for that. It’s been part of the Annexation Agreement for over 15 years, so we inherited that as having an interest in the parcel. It is required of the recently adopted Master Plan that just was adopted by the city. As required for fire circulation use, the points of access on both Ogden and on 75th are not full movement access points and the Fire Department wanted that full movement, so that really does necessitate that additional drive on Commons Drive and it’s created the frontage for the commercial component, again, which is very important to the community, as we’ll share with you.

It is a 30 acre site with a mixed use. Commons Drive will be dedicated, so the right-of-way is demonstrated there. It is a 100 foot right-of-way after city approval is complete on the TIF. That dedication will occur. We are obligated under the Redevelopment Agreement to dedicate the available land. Phase 1 is the assisted living and that is in that blush color. That is a 5.8 acre, nearly 6 acre site. The independent living, 144 independent living community is a 5.7 acre site and that’s 144 units. The use of senior of housing, the fast growing segment of the population is over the age of 85 as a percentage of the population, which is always surprising. The second fastest is over the age of 75. Life expectancies are increasing and people who can no longer care for themselves don’t necessarily want to live in nursing homes and the way the nursing home industry is changing it is really not appropriate. There is a very high medical need in nursing care. We’re looking to provide alternatives for housing for those who can no longer live on their own or simply want a simpler lifestyle in the independent living community. In 5 miles from site location by next year, the
senior households of 75 and older is 6,400 senior households that is aged 75 and older in your community and that is actually growing at 18%, so it is growing very quickly. The caregiver households, and that’s all of us baby boomers, that falls into the ages of 45 and 64 and those are the adult sons and daughters who are caring for seniors or potentially have a parent or a loved one that they would be looking after, there are 42,000 households within 5 miles of this site and that’s growing by 6%. There is very strong growth on income qualified. This is a private pay community. In the 75 and up household, there is 25% growth in the income qualified cohort under 75 year olds. Our brand for senior housing is Melody Living. Melody Living wants to be part of the community, so the days of tuck in the nursing home in the back 100 acres is long gone. Our seniors are active, they are involved, and they are engaged. A very important part of wellness is that component of being involved and remaining involved in the community. So that’s why it is so important to be really nestled in the heart of a community. So we will interact with community involvement, with churches and associations and open our community meetings and children activities, music activities and the like. It will be very lively. It is a very light traffic impact. Our residents are no longer driving in the assisted living community. The average is 83 to 85 in the Phase 1. One of the main reasons people go to assisted living is they lose their ability to drive. Those residents are no longer driving, so the parking is primarily for staff and visitors. On the independent living side, it is a surprise to most people, the average age is 83. They are healthier and still want their own independence. People get swayed by the age restriction of 62 and older, but that is a HUD restriction. The reality is most of our residents will be well over the age of 80 that make the choice. They generally have an eye toward services and medical and that’s why they are making that choice to downsize into independent living. It really is for a simpler lifestyle. There is no burden on school services with the age restricted use and that was a very important component as we put this together that it not be multi-family and that it be age restricted and when the demographics supported that we felt like we had a win/win.

In talking a little bit about the commercial uses on the east side of the site and the west side of the site, along Commons on the east is 6.7 acres. We’ve done some master planning and that will support 4 outlots, approximately 26,000 square feet of retail. On the west side, we programmed that for 30,000 feet of office. That is general business. It could go either office or retail. The balance of the site is stormwater detention and that’s been worked and preliminary engineering.

This just identifies those uses. So the cream parcel A is Phase 1. Parcel B in tan is Phase 2. That’s the independent living. Everything in the magenta is the general business use and then green is your detention ponds.

This is just a snapshot. These are really early renditions of the floor plans. It is hard to read in the slides, but the blue is a core community center. It is a 2 story community center toward the center kind of shortening those walking distances for our residents.

This will be a single story memory support. It will be a secure environment and it will have the look and the feel and the character of assisted living, but in a secure environment. It also will have a secure courtyard.

The independent living, which is in Phase 2, 144 units, will flank the 2 story community center. I’ll get a little bit into the amenities space as we get into the slides. The assisted living is that need that is frailer than independent living and well below nursing. It is not nursing care. It is a licensed use with the State of Illinois. This will
be a licensed community. These are people that need assistance with activities of daily living and that’s bathing, grooming, meal preparation, transportation and housekeeping. As I mentioned earlier, the residents have generally lost their ability to drive. We will be licensed with the State of Illinois as an assisted living and shared housing establishment. The dedicated neighborhood for memory support also falls within that license, the 63 units of assisted living on the first building and 25 of memory support.

The first slide here, this is a view of our concierge. These are photos from our Colorado Springs community. It will definitely be of similar character. The architecture will be consistent with the context and the environment that we’re in. Our architects get very involved in the planning. They will work very, very closely with the Planning Department in not only architectural and character, but also on the engineering front as we get going with design. So this is our entry. We do have a full time concierge that does greet and help the residents.

This is also near the entrance, the hearth room, right off the entry. It gives you a place to eat with somebody or if you are joining someone. It is a great overflow space for some of our activities.

The Bistro is a second dining environment as farmer casual. We do ask for a liquor license for those residents that are able to medically partake. They are all adults. We treat our residents as adults and part of that is that they may want a cocktail. So that is provided here in the Bistro and in the dining room. As you can see, it is a very social environment, music, food. There is a grab and go for our staff persons in this space, so they comingle and get to know the residents. It is a very friendly environment that way.

Five Star quality dining. It is menued. It is seasonal. It is local as much as possible. We get rave reviews on our food. We have a Resident Council that’s involved in the dining program that does give their input on their likes and dislikes. Then we will manage special dietary needs as well.

The fitness area, this is a view of the assisted living where chair activity is very, very popular, so a lot of stretching, really just getting people moving. You can see the weights. We do have trainers come in. We will have in the assisted living an on-site physical therapy therapist who can provide services to residents. That’s a third party service that’s provided in the community. We will also have a clinic, again for visiting physicians, podiatrists, dentists and the like to have space in the assisted living to provide those services for our residents at their choice. Full service salon with hair, nails and therapeutic massage.

The Art Studio, we really try and strive for a true art studio. It is a daylighting. It is less about crafts and more about true art. We have visiting artists that come. The work on techniques. We work with a lot of outreach programs in the area to get artists, musicians, you name it, that come to the property.

The Community Life Room is a multi-purpose space. It is large and can be divided into 2 smaller meeting spaces, so we invite associations and community events to come. We also host baby showers here in this space, so it is multi-purpose. It can act as classrooms. It can act as entertainment. It is set up with a hearing loop for the hearing impaired, so it feeds directly into their hearing aids. Then it has the smart TV and the microphone. Lots of lounge space. This particular one is a game room. We try to give the grand kids something to do so they really, really do enjoy coming. For
the older kids it is the gaming, so we’ve purposefully set a space up where the grandparents can enjoy their great grandchildren while they play. Then again, there is just an example that we are pet friendly.

The gardens are beautifully landscaped with pathways to encourage walking, encourage that exercise out and getting outdoor activity. It is appointed with shade and it truly will be an awarding landscape.

The playscape is actually a huge part of our programming. We do a commercial playscape on all of our properties. This is actually fantastic for the younger children. They really do enjoy coming. They were super excited, this group of kids that are here, to literally do the groundbreaking on the playscape and cut the ribbon and they got to play on it. It is a lot of fun.

We talked about the unit sizes. There is detail in your packets. The assisted living does not have a full kitchen, but it has a kitchenette. We strive to make it look and feel like a full kitchen setting. Again, we want it to be as close to independent living as possible. You can see that there is granite, the vinyl planked floor, carpeted in the main room, tile in the restroom. It truly is detailed in a very high way for luxury living. In the assisted living, we provide studios, one bedroom and two bedroom units. These are models in our communities. These are actual models, the smallest being the studio is on the bottom there to give you a sense of the smallest unit.

The next slide is our two bedroom. Again, the larger kitchenette and then we have the living area in the center and it can either be two bedrooms, which a lot of couples really do enjoy this in the assisted living and then two private baths. In the independent living, we’ll have larger units. They are full kitchens, similarly finished, but full kitchens. I should note also we have 9 foot ceilings. It is very intentional to be well done and appealing.

So just to give you a sense of overview, these are really early plans. This concept plan will give you a sense of the massing of the buildings. You can see there on your left is the 4 story independent living, again Phase 1. The first phase is the 2 story assisted living up front and 1 story memory support toward the rear. Both of them have separate entrances so it is really easy for families to navigate and not a lot of walking. We’ve kind of been organic with our parking and made it soft and a lot of green space.

So just to give you a sense again, these are early renderings. The 2 story assisted living and the drop-off area in the front and then the 1 story memory support to the back. This is to give you a sense of the overall massing of the mixed use development plan with the commercial and, again, that’s not Master Planned at this point, but the ability to do the 4 outlots along Commons, which would be towards the bottom of the screen and the commercial component on the north end of the screen. I’d be glad to answer any questions or specifics that you have questions about. I thank you for your time.

Ms. Tidwell said can you talk a little bit about the parking? I saw the reference to one space per unit as being adequate. What about visitor parking and is all the parking uncovered?

Ms. Hester said it is. The carports are not allowed. Structured parking just makes the project infeasible. We do not have any structured parking and then carports are not allowed. It is all surface parking to answer your question. In independent living, and there is national data through IT, which is a known entity that tracks the parking
needs, the average use for independent living is .6. It is .6 really for both the assisted and independent, so what happens is it is the most expensive expense that a resident will have when they come to the community and because we provide transportation and trips to grocery stores and restaurants and outings they generally give that up, so 60% of the residents would generally use. So we’ve done one to one, which will easily be adequate parking. On the assisted living, we provided a .78 ratio for the assisted living, which again is well over the .6. That’s strictly the staff and visitors. It is very rare that a resident has a car and if they do it is usually short term. We are actually over the national average with the parking that we have provided. Then one other comment I’ll make is we have the ability to grow our parking. There are areas on the site for land bank if the Commission deems that it is too light that we can add additional parking or with the stipulation that if it becomes a problem we would add it. But I can tell you from experience I don’t want to be under parked. The last thing I want is a guest who can’t find a spot. We found that the ratios that we’ve shared with you work very well.

Ms. Tidwell said and the parking for the units is separate from the business parking?

Ms. Hester said it is, yes. It is completely separate from the business parking and it would be dedicated for each of the communities.

Vice Chairman Cameron said and will those parking for the residential units be marked or otherwise patrolled so that the people won’t wander over from the commercial? How do you handle that type of situation?

Ms. Hester said well how we handle it is we adequately park the commercial because if they are wondering over at that long distance then I’ve got a problem there, we’ve under parked it. Your code does require adequate parking. In fact, I believe the parking is going to dictate, and what we shared on our model, was very much dictated by adequate parking for those uses, the amount of square footage that would be allowable there. But yes, to answer your question, it will be signed for residents only and there will be a guest area by the front area.

The public input portion of the public hearing was opened. No witnesses came forward. The public input portion of the public hearing was closed.

Mrs. Vacek said staff would recommend approval of the Ordinance establishing a Special Use Planned Development, approving the Melody Town Center Plan Description and amending Ordinance Number 3100, being the Aurora Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map attached thereto, to an underlying zoning of R-5(S), R-5A(S), B-2(S) and OS-1(S) with a Special Use Planned Development for the property located east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street.

MOTION OF APPROVAL WAS MADE BY:  Ms. Tidwell
MOTION SECONDED BY:  Mr. Elsbree
AYES: Mrs. Anderson, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Divine, Mr. Elsbree, Mr. Gonzales, Mrs. Head, Mr. Hull, Mr. Reynolds, Ms. Tidwell
NAYS: None

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Is the proposal in accordance with all applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?
Mr. Chambers said yes and these are listed in the staff report.

2. Does the proposal represent the logical establishment and/or consistent extension of the requested classification in consideration of the existing land uses, existing zoning classifications, and essential character of the general area of the property in question?

Mr. Reynolds said the proposal represents the highest and best use of the property.

3. Is the proposal consistent with a desirable trend of development in the general area of the property in question, occurring since the property in question was placed in its present zoning classification, desirability being defined as the trend’s consistency with applicable official physical development policies and other related official plans and policies of the City of Aurora?

Mr. Reynolds said the proposal, again, represents the highest and best use of the property.

4. Will the proposal maintain a compatible relationship with the traffic pattern and traffic volume of adjacent streets and not have an adverse effect upon traffic or pedestrian movement and safety in the general area of the property in question?

Mr. Hull said it does not appear to have any adverse effect on the traffic patterns.

5. Will the proposal allow for the provision of adequate public services and facilities to the property in question and have no adverse effect upon existing public services and facilities?

Ms. Tidwell said there should be no adverse effect.

6. Does the proposal take adequate measures or will they be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to maximize pedestrian and vehicular circulation ease and safety, minimize traffic congestion, and not substantially increase the congestion in the public streets?

Vice Chairman Cameron said at least for the residential aspect of it, it appears as though that’s not typically a heavy use. The business zoning and commercial zoning seems to be in locations where it fits in with the traffic approaches and departure points.

7a. Is the rezoning a consistent extension of the existing land uses, existing zoning classifications, and essential character of the general area?

Mr. Hull said yes.

7b. Will the rezoning permit uses which are more suitable than uses permitted under the existing zoning classification?

Mr. Chambers said yes.

9a. Will the special use not preclude the normal and orderly development of improvement of surrounding properties due to the saturation or concentration of similar uses in the general area?
Mrs. Head said there should be no impact.

9b. Is the special use in all other respects in conformance to the applicable regulations in the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the City Council pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission?

Vice Chairman Cameron said it is.

Mrs. Vacek said this will next be heard at the Building, Zoning and Economic Development Committee on Wednesday, July 24, 2019, at 4:00 p.m. on the fifth floor of this building.

19-0531 A Resolution approving a Preliminary Plat for Melody Town Center Subdivision being vacant land located east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street (CDI Development Services, LLC – 19-0531 / NA28/1-16.085-Rz/Su/Psd/Ppn – TV – Ward 10)

Mrs. Vacek said staff would recommend conditional approval of the Resolution approving the Preliminary Plat for the property east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street with the following condition:

1. That the access drives into the commercial lots be constructed at the Final Plan time on Lots 1 and 2 or an easement on Lots 1 and 2 be established to allow the developer/owner of the commercial lots to construct the access drive. We just want to make sure that that interconnection is there.

   MOTION OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL WAS MADE BY:  Ms. Tidwell
   MOTION SECONDED BY:  Mrs. Anderson
   AYES:  Mr. Anderson, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Divine, Mr. Elsbree, Mr. Gonzales, Mrs. Head, Mr. Hull, Mr. Reynolds, Ms. Tidwell
   NAYS: None

Mrs. Vacek said this will next be heard at the Building, Zoning and Economic Development Committee on Wednesday, July 24, 2019, at 4:00 p.m. on the fifth floor of this building.

19-0532 A Resolution approving a Preliminary Plan for Melody Town Center Subdivision being vacant land located east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street (CDI Development Services, LLC – 19-0532 / NA28/1-16.085-Rz/Su/Psd/Ppn – TV – Ward 10)

Mrs. Vacek said staff would recommend conditional approval of the Resolution approving a Preliminary Plan for the property located east of the intersection of Ogden Avenue and 75th Street with the following conditions:

1. That the approval be contingent upon Final Engineering approval.
2. That the access points and associated improvements on Ogden Avenue and 75th Street be contingent upon IDOT and DuPage County Highway Department approval.
3. That the access drives into the commercial lots be constructed at Final Plan time on Lots 1 and 2 or an easement on Lots 1 and 2 be established to allow the developer/owner of the commercial lots to construct the access drive.

   MOTION OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL WAS MADE BY:  Mr. Chambers
MOTION SECONDED BY: Ms. Tidwell
AYES: Mrs. Anderson, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Divine, Mr. Elsbree, Mr. Gonzales,
Mrs. Head, Mr. Hull, Mr. Reynolds, Ms. Tidwell
NAYS: None

Mrs. Vacek said this will next be heard at the Building, Zoning and Economic Development Committee on Wednesday, July 24, 2019, at 4:00 p.m. on the fifth floor of this building.

PENDING

COMMITTEE REPORTS

A) Amendments

B) Grant and Award Research

C) Comprehensive Plan

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Sieben said I believe our next meeting will be the regularly scheduled meeting on August 7th.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Ms. Tidwell, seconded by Mr. Elsbree, that the meeting be adjourned. The motion carried by voice vote. Vice Chairman Cameron adjourned the meeting at 7:45 p.m.

VISIT OUR WEB SITE FOR CURRENT AGENDAS:
https://www.aurora-il.org/AgendaCenter